

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/404,010	09/23/1999	YING LUO	A-68294/DJB/	7948	
7.	590 06/28/2002				
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & TEST LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
	MBARCADERO CENTER STE 3400 ANCISCO, CA 941114187		ANDRES,	ANDRES, JANET L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1646	1646		
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002	DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 			
Examiner Same Art Unit Janet L Andres Same Art Unit Janet L Andres Janet L A		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Janet L Andres Jan	Advisory Action	09/404,010	LUO ET AL.
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a world abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Application in Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1142 a) ☐ The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) ☐ The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. The proposal date of the final rejection		Examiner	Art Unit
HHE REPLY FILED 08 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the application is required to a world abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed there; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed place and the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed for the filed place of the application in the filed place of the	The BRAIL INC.	Janet L Andres	1646
HERPLY FILED 08 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicants is required to a woid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed of the filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed of the final rejection. a] ☐ The period for reply expires	I ne MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
a)	THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to at final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Applications of Ap	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.
a)	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
tile under 3 CHR 1/1(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 06 June 2002. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: NOTE: Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). Well affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) objected: 24-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1.100(c) and	g date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forti ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cl	the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the main FR 1.704(b).	or the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or illing date of the final rejection, even if
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☒ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	(3) CFR	(1.191(0)), to avoid dismissal o	the period set forth in f the appeal.
(c) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	`
(c) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	(b) Lifey raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow):	•
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. 3. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	• • • •		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b exhibit, or c request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. 3. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	NOTE:		nally rejected claims.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b exhibit, or c request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. 3. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	n(s):	
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. 3. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. D. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would b canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a se	
 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reapplication in condition for allowance because: See	econsideration has been conside Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. 3. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 3. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would appear to the proposed amended claims would be appeared to the proposed amended claims would be appeared to the proposed amendment of the proposed) a)□ will not be entered or b)[ld be rejected is provided below	☑ will be entered and an
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23. 3. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,	or apportued.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>24-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11-23</u> . B. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23 . The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Claim(s) objected to:		
B.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. D.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Claim(s) rejected: 24-33.		
B.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. D.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-23.		
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	B. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or h) disappro	wed by the Eventine
D. ☐ Other:	D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement/s	s)(PTO-1449) Paner No/s)	too by the examiner.
ı	0.	-// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			ł

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisa . A.A

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that knowing that Mkinase is somehow involved in the cell cycle is sufficient to enable one of skill to make and use it to screen for modulators of the cell cycle. Applicant further argues that such screeining assays have a real-world utility. Applicant additionally points to the Revised Utility Guidelines and argues that the office action provides no evidence to refute the teachings of Xu or to suggest that the asserted function is not credible or that the invention is inoperative. Applicant further argues that one of skill "would look for changes in the cell cycle to reflect changes in Mkinase activity". Applicant states that both antagonists and agonists are desired. Applicant states that knowledge of the precise function of Mkinase is not critical to the performance of screens for alterations in the cell cycle.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considred but, for reasons of record in the office action of paper no. 18, are not found persuasive. The utility of Applicant's invention has not been challenged, nor has the credibility of Applicant's assertions. However, what is asserted is, as stated previously, that Mkinase is somehow involved in the cell cycle. What is not disclosed, and what one of skill would not be able to determine from the instant disclosure, is how it is involved. Thus, contrary to Applicant's assertions, the artisan would not know how to use it to screen for modulators, because such an artisan would not know what activity would be expected to be modulated. Applicant has provided no guidance to allow one of skill in the art to determine, without undue experimentation, whether activation or inhibition, or a more complex form of modulation, is to be expected. The artisan would not know what was to be measured or how such measurements would be affected. Thus, one of skill would have to determine precisely how Applicant's invention functioned in order to use it. While artrecognized screens are provided by the specification, absent knowledge of the activity to be affected, this is not sufficient guidance to allow one of skill to predictably use Mkinase for any purpose, but is merely an invitation to use the current invention as a starting point for further experimentation. Thus, without further guidance, it would require undue experimentation for the skilled artisan to practice Applicant's invention.

YVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600